

OGC Has Reviewed

2 October 1961

STATINTL

MEMO TO:

FROM:

Following are comments on Support Bulletin article on home leave:

*enunciated* Question 15: I don't believe such a policy has ever been ~~enunciated~~ by the Agency and I am inclined to think we would take legal objection to it. At least the travel part of our home leave authority probably grants a right in the employee. Considering this and the fact that there are a variety of reasons in the interest of the Agency for calling a man off of leave there probably should be some provision for permitting a split leave under certain well-defined circumstances.

*may be  
should  
consider  
change*

Question 19: A sentence should be added indicating that dependents may travel at their own expense in reasonable anticipation of the employee's travel order and then may be reimbursed for the travel upon the issuance of the order.

Question 20: Here again there are circumstances where the traveler might be forced to abandon his travel "at the convenience of the Government" or for some reason beyond his control and acceptable to the Agency. We use such a provision for interrupted travel (that is, expenses caused by the interruption) to cover severe illness, strikes, civil disturbances, train wrecks, etc.

STATINTL

<b>TRANSMITTAL SLIP</b>		DATE 23 August 1962
TO: Mr. <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span>		
ROOM NO. 7-D-10	BUILDING Hq.	
REMARKS:  <p style="text-align: center;">The attached copy of a memo dated 2 October 1961 from OGC on home leave is sent at your request.</p>		
FROM: <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 200px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span>		
ROOM NO. G-D-15	BUILDING Hq.	EXTENSION 6182
FORM NO. 241 1 FEB 55		<small>REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.</small> <small>☆ GPO : 1957--O-439445</small> <small>(47)</small>

STATINTL